UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/752,607	01/06/2004 Guangming Carl Shi		030517	6044	
	7590 06/18/200 INCORPORATED	8	EXAMINER		
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.	DANIEL JR, WILLIE J			
SAN DIEGO, C	A 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/18/2008	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

Office Action Summary		Арр	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/7	752,607		SHI, GUANGMING CARL		
		Exa	niner		Art Unit		
		WILI	JE J. DANIEL JR	:	2617		
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu	nication appears o	on the cover she	et with the co	orrespondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENE WHICHEVER - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wi Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD F IS LONGER, FROM THE Me may be available under the provision THS from the mailing date of this comply is specified above, the maximum such in the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months in adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE C s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir munication. tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause t	OF THIS COMMI in no event, however, m and will expire SIX (6) he application to become	UNICATION nay a reply be time ) MONTHS from to me ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status							
1)⊠ Respons 2a)⊠ This acti 3)□ Since thi	sive to communication(s) file on is <b>FINAL</b> . is application is in condition accordance with the pract	2b)∏ This action for allowance ex	n is non-final. cept for formal r	•		e merits is	
Disposition of Cla	aims						
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-4,6-15,17-24 and 26-45 e above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. 1-4,6-15,17-24 and 26-45 is/are objected to are subject to restri	are withdrawn fro	m consideration	1.			
Application Pape	rs						
10) The draw Applicant Replacen	ification is objected to by the ring(s) filed on is/are may not request that any objected to declaration is objected to the recommendation is objected to be a supplementation in the recommendation is objected to be a supplementation in the recommendation in the recommendation is objected to be a supplementation in the recommendation in the recommendation is objected to by the recommendation in the recommendation is objected to be a supplementation in the recommendation in the recommendation is objected to be a supplementation in the recommendation in the recommendation is objected to be a supplementation in the recommendation in the recommendation is objected to be a supplementation in the recommendation in the recommendation is objected to be a supplementation in the recommendation in the recommendation is objected to be a supplementation in the recommendation in the recommendation is objected to be a supplementation in the recommendation in the recommendation in the recommendation is objected to be a supplementation in the recommendation in the recommendati	: a) ☐ accepted ection to the drawing the correction is i	g(s) be held in ab equired if the drav	peyance. See wing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) D Notice of Draftsp	nces Cited (PTO-892) verson's Patent Drawing Review ( losure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) I Date	PTO-948)	Paper 5) Notice	view Summary ( r No(s)/Mail Da e of Informal Pa r:			

Art Unit: 2617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 10 March 2008. Claims 1-4, 6-15, 17-24, and 26-45 are now pending in the present application and claims 5, 16, and 25 are canceled. This office action is made Final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 9-15, 20-24, 29-30, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kolev et al. (hereinafter Kolev) (US 6,125,283).

Regarding **claim 1**, Kolev discloses a method of communications, comprising: determining that subscriber information is available to allow authorized access to a plurality of available communication networks (see col. 6, lines 36-41,44-49; col. 6, line 61 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 5);

receiving an origination request for a call (see col. 6, lines 28-34; col. 8, lines 8-11; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 5-6B), including parameters that include a service parameter (see col. 6, lines 28-49; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 5-6B) and a dialing string (see col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; col. 6, lines 35-36; Figs. 4-5 and 6B "ref. 130, 128"), where the user terminal (60) has an user interface (70) for input dialing numbers (e.g., string);

determining which communications networks (20, 40) from the plurality of available

Art Unit: 2617

communications networks (20, 40) support the call based upon the parameters and the information, thereby identifying compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 6, lines 18-28; Figs. 1-2 and 5-6B), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) (see col. 6, lines 32-49; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 4); and

accessing predefined information and comparing the dialing string to said predefined information to determine if the call is allowed on at least one of the compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 6, lines 32-49; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 4), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) and, where the network processes the call request of the user terminal (see col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; col. 6, lines 35-36,50-54; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 6A-B), and

if so, originating the call on a selected one of the allowed compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 11, lines 5-8; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 6A-B), where the network processes the call request of the user terminal.

Regarding **claim 10**, Kolev discloses a method of communications, comprising: determining that subscriber information is available to allow authorized access to a plurality of available communication networks (see col. 6, lines 36-41,44-49; col. 6, line 61 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 5);

receiving an origination request for a call (see col. 6, lines 28-34; col. 8, lines 8-11; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 5-6B), including parameters that include a service parameter (see col. 6, lines 28-49; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 5-6B) and a dialing string (see col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; col. 6, lines 35-36; Figs. 4-5 and 6B "ref. 130, 128"), where the user terminal (60) has an user interface (70) for input dialing numbers (e.g., string);

Art Unit: 2617

determining which communications networks (20, 40) from the plurality of available communications networks (20, 40) support the call based upon the parameters and the information, thereby identifying compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 6, lines 18-28; Figs. 1-2 and 5-6B), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) (see col. 6, lines 32-49; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 4); and

accessing predefined information and comparing the dialing string to said predefined information to determine if the call is not allowed on at least on of the compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 6, lines 32-54; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; col. 7, lines 22-29; col. 8, lines 49-59; col. 11, lines 1-9; Figs. 4, 6A, 6B "ref. 134, 126"), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) and where the network access is not allowed or blocked (see col. 7, lines 22-29; col. 8, lines 49-59; col. 11, lines 8-9; Figs. 6A, 6B "ref. 134, 126"), and

preventing the call from being originated (see col. 7, lines 22-29; col. 8, lines 49-59; col. 11, lines 8-9; Figs. 6A, 6B "ref. 134, 126"), where the network access is not allowed or blocked.

Regarding **claim 12**, Kolev discloses a computer readable media embodying a program of instructions executable by a processor to perform a method of communications (see Figs. 4-6B), the method comprising:

determining that subscriber information is available to allow authorized access to a plurality of available communication networks (see col. 6, lines 36-41,44-49; col. 6, line 61 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 5);

receiving an origination request for a call (see col. 6, lines 28-34; col. 8, lines 8-11; col.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,607

Art Unit: 2617

9, lines 20-24; Figs. 5-6B), including parameters that include a service parameter (see col. 6, lines 28-49; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 5-6B) and a dialing string (see col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; col. 6, lines 35-36; Figs. 4-5 and 6B "ref. 130, 128"), where the user terminal (60) has an user interface (70) for input dialing numbers (e.g., string);

Page 5

determining which communications networks (20, 40) from the plurality of available communications networks (20, 40) support the call based upon the parameters and the information, thereby identifying compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 6, lines 18-28; Figs. 1-2 and 5-6B), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) (see col. 6, lines 32-49; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 4); and

accessing predefined information and comparing the dialing string to said predefined information to determine whether the call is allowed on at least one of the compatible communications networks (20, 40) (see col. 6, lines 32-54; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; Fig. 4), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) and, where the network processes the call request of the user terminal (see col. 11, lines 5-8; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 6A-B); and

originating the call over compatible communications network (20, 40) if the call is determined to be allowed (see col. 11, lines 5-8; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 6A-B), where the network processes the call request of the user terminal, and

preventing the call from being originating if the call is determined not to be allowed (see col. 7, lines 22-29; col. 8, lines 49-59; col. 11, lines 8-9; Figs. 6A, 6B "ref. 134, 126"), where the network (20, 40) access is not allowed or blocked.

Regarding claim 21, Kolev discloses a user terminal (60) which reads on claimed

Art Unit: 2617

"communications device" (see col. 6, 18-22; Figs. 4-6B), comprising:

an user interface (70) which reads on the claimed "input device" configured to receive an origination request for a call (see col. 6, lines 28-36; col. 8, lines 8-11; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 4-6B), including parameters that include a service parameter (see col. 6, lines 28-49; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 5-6B) and a dialing string (see col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; col. 6, lines 35-36; Figs. 4-5 and 6B "ref. 130, 128"), where the user terminal (60) has an user interface (70) for input dialing numbers (e.g., string);

an user terminal memory (68) which reads on the claimed "memory device" for storing information (see col. 6, lines 32-34; Fig. 4);

a processor (66) (see Fig. 4) configured to:

determine that subscriber information is available to allow authorized access to a plurality of available communication networks (see col. 6, lines 36-41,44-49; col. 6, line 61 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 5);

determine which communications networks (20, 40) from the plurality of available communications networks (20, 40) support the call based upon a service parameter (e.g., communications compatibility with terrestrial and satellite networks), thereby identifying compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 6, lines 18-28; Figs. 1-2 and 5-6B), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) (see col. 6, lines 32-49; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 4). The dual-mode mobile terminal is able to communicate with a satellite network (40) and/or a terrestrial network (20 - GSM or AMPS) according to service parameters (e.g., compatibility, level of service, and/or type of communications) (see col. 5, line 52 - col. 6, line 13; col. 6, lines 44-49; col. 9, lines 2-5,20-

Application/Control Number: 10/752,607

Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

### 23)., and

accessing predefined information and comparing the dialing string to said predefined information to determine whether the call is allowed on at least one compatible communications network (20, 40) responsive to the parameters and the information (see col. 6, lines 32-54; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; Fig. 4), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72), originate the call over a selected compatible communications network if the processor determines that the call is allowed (20, 40) (see col. 11, lines 5-8; Figs. 6A-B), where the

prevent the call from being originating over a selected compatible communications network (20, 40) if the processor determines that the call is not allowed (see col. 7, lines 22-29; col. 8, lines 49-59; col. 11, lines 8-9; Figs. 6A, 6B "ref. 134, 126"), where the network access is not allowed or blocked.

network processes the call request of the user terminal, and

Regarding **claim 29**, Kolev discloses a user terminal (60) which reads on claimed "communications device" (see col. 6, 18-22; Figs. 4-6B), comprising:

means for determining that subscriber information is available to allow authorized access to a plurality of available communication networks (see col. 6, lines 36-41,44-49; col. 6, line 61 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 5);

means (66) for receiving an origination request for a call, including parameters that include service parameters (see col. 6, lines 28-49; col. 8, lines 8-11; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 4-6B) and a dialing string (see col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; col. 6, lines 35-36; Figs. 4-5 and 6B "ref. 130, 128"), where the user terminal (60) has an user interface (70) for input

Art Unit: 2617

dialing numbers (e.g., string);

means (66) for determining which communications networks (20, 40) from the plurality of available communications networks (20, 40) support the call based upon the parameters, thereby identifying compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 6, lines 18-28; Figs. 1-2 and 5-6B), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) (see col. 6, lines 32-49; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 4);

Page 8

means for accessing predefined information and comparing the dialing string to said predefined information to determine whether the call is allowed on at least one compatible communications network (20, 40) responsive to the parameters (see col. 6, lines 32-49; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 4), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) and, where the network processes the call request of the user terminal (see col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; col. 6, lines 35-36,50-54; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 6A-B);

means (66) for originating the call over a selected communications network (20, 40) if the call is determined to be allowed (see col. 11, lines 5-8; Figs. 6A-B), where the network processes the call request of the user terminal; and

means (66) for preventing the call over the selected communications network (20, 40) if the call is determined not to be allowed (see col. 7, lines 22-29; col. 8, lines 49-59; col. 11, lines 8-9; Figs. 6A, 6B "ref. 134, 126"), where the network access is not allowed or blocked.

Regarding **claim 30**, Kolev discloses a method of communications, comprising: determining that subscriber information is available to allow authorized access to a plurality of available communication networks (see col. 6, lines 36-41,44-49; col. 6, line 61 -

Art Unit: 2617

col. 7, line 8; Fig. 5);

receiving an origination request for a call (see col. 6, lines 28-34; col. 8, lines 8-11; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 5-6B), including parameters that include a service parameters (see col. 6, lines 28-49; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 5-6B) and a dialing string (see col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; col. 6, lines 35-36; Figs. 4-5 and 6B "ref. 130, 128"), where the user terminal (60) has an user interface (70) for input dialing numbers (e.g., string);

determining which communications networks (20, 40) from the plurality of available communications networks (20, 40) support the call based upon the parameters and the information, thereby identifying compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 6, lines 18-28; Figs. 1-2 and 5-6B), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) (see col. 6, lines 32-49; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 4); and

accessing predefined information and comparing the dialing string to said predefined information to determine if the call is an emergency call (see col. 6, lines 32-54; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; Figs. 4 and 6A-B), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) and, where the network processes the call request of the user terminal (see col. 11, lines 5-8; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 6A-B), and

if so, originating the call on one of the allowed compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 11, lines 5-8; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 6A-B), where the network processes the call request of the user terminal.

Regarding **claim 34**, Kolev discloses a user terminal (60) which reads on claimed "communications device" (see col. 6, 18-22; Figs. 4-6B), comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/752,607

Art Unit: 2617

an user interface (70) which reads on the claimed "input device" configured to receive an origination request for a call (see col. 6, lines 28-36; col. 8, lines 8-11; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 4-6B), including parameters the include service parameters (see col. 6, lines 28-49; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 5-6B) and a dialing string (see col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; col. 6, lines 35-36; Figs. 4-5 and 6B "ref. 130, 128"), where the user terminal (60) has an user interface (70) for input dialing numbers (e.g., string);

Page 10

an user terminal memory (68) which reads on the claimed "memory device" for storing information (see col. 6, lines 32-34; Fig. 4);

a processor (see Fig. 4 'ref. 66') configured to:

determining that subscriber information is available to allow authorized access to a plurality of available communication networks (see col. 6, lines 36-41,44-49; col. 6, line 61 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 5);

determine which communications networks (20, 40) from the plurality of available communications networks (20, 40) support the call based upon the parameters, thereby identifying compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 6, lines 18-28; Figs. 1-2 and 5-6B), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) (see col. 6, lines 32-49; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 4), and

accessing predefined information and comparing the dialing string to said predefined information to determine whether the call is an emergency call (see col. 6, lines 32-54; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; col. 11, lines 1-9; col. 3, lines 36-37; Figs. 4 and 6A-B), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) and where the network processes the call request of the user terminal (see col. 11, lines 5-8; col. 9, lines 20-

Art Unit: 2617

24; Figs. 6A-B), and

if so, the call is allowed on all compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 11, lines 5-8; col. 9, lines 20-24; Figs. 6A-B), where the network processes the call request of the user terminal originate the call over a selected compatible communications network if the processor determines that the call is allowed (20, 40) (see col. 11, lines 5-8; Figs. 6A-B), where the network processes the call request of the user terminal, and

prevent the call from being originating over a selected compatible communications network (20, 40) if the processor determines that the call is not allowed (see col. 7, lines 22-29; col. 8, lines 49-59; col. 11, lines 8-9; Figs. 6A, 6B "ref. 134, 126"), where the network access is not allowed or blocked.

Regarding **claim 2, 11, 13, 22,** and **35**, Kolev discloses the method of claims 1 and 10, computer readable media of claim 12, and communications device of claims 21 and 34, wherein at least a portion of the information is accessed from at least one of a SIM card, an R-UIM card, and a USIM card (see col. 6, lines 1-9).

Regarding **claims 3, 14,** and **23**, Kolev discloses the method of claim 1, computer readable media of claim 12, and communications device of claim 21 wherein the call origination request comprises an indication that the call is an emergency call (see col. 8, lines 5-13).

Regarding **claims 4, 15,** and **24**, Kolev discloses the method of claim 3, computer readable media of claim 14, and communications device of claim 23 further comprising indicating that the call is allowed on each one of the plurality of communications networks (20, 40) (see col. 8, lines 5-20).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claims 9** and **20**, Kolev discloses the method of claim 1 and computer readable media of claim 12 further comprising indicating that the call is allowed on the selected communications network (see col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; Figs. 6A-B).

Regarding **claims 39-45**, Kolev discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the predefined information comprises user-defined information (see col. 3, lines 58-64; col. 6, lines 32-40; col. 7, lines 3-8; col. 8, lines 6-10; col. 11, lines 1-9), where the user (or subscriber) has subscribed to services of the user's preference to allow for access to different networks.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-7, 17-18, 26-27, 31-32, and 36-37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kolev et al.** (hereinafter Kolev) (US 6,125,283) in view of **Jonsson** (US 5,915,224).

Regarding **claims 6, 17, 26, 31, and 36**, Kolev discloses a method, computer readable media, and communications device as applied above in claims 1, 12, 21, 30, and 34, in addition Kolev further discloses a processor (66) (see Fig. 4). Kolev does not specifically disclose having the feature further comprising altering the dialing string before originating

Art Unit: 2617

the call. However, the examiner maintains that the feature further comprising altering the dialing string before originating the call was well known in the art, as taught by Jonsson.

In the same field of endeavor, Jonsson discloses the feature further comprising altering the sequence which reads on the claimed "dialing string" before originating the call (see col. 14, lines 28-39), where the area code is added to a keying sequence.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Kolev and Jonsson to have the feature further comprising altering the dialing string before originating the call, in order to decide which networks are accessible at the time a call is made in a traffic originating purpose, as taught by Jonsson (see col. 5, lines 6-12).

Regarding **claims 7, 18, 27, 32, and 37**, Kolev discloses every limitation claimed as applied above in claims 6, 17, 26, 31, and 36, in addition Kolev further discloses a processor (66) (see Fig. 4). Kolev does not specifically disclose having the feature wherein the altering of the dialing string comprises replacing the dialing string with a new dialing string. However, the examiner maintains that the feature wherein the altering of the dialing string comprises replacing the dialing string with a new dialing string was well known in the art, as taught by Jonsson.

Jonsson further discloses the feature wherein the altering of the dialing string comprises replacing the dialing string with a new dialing string (see col. 14, lines 15-25; Figs. 14-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Kolev and Jonsson to have the feature wherein the altering of the dialing string comprises replacing the dialing string with a new dialing string, in order to decide which networks are accessible at the time a call is made in a traffic originating purpose, as taught by Jonsson (see col. 5, lines 6-12).

Claims 8, 19, 28, 33, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolev et al. (hereinafter Kolev) (US 6,125,283) in view of Jonsson (US 5,915,224) as applied to claims 6, 17, 26, 31, and 36 above, and further in view of Sakai et al. (hereinafter Sakai) (US 7,010,296 B2).

Regarding **claims 8, 19, 28, 33, and 38**, the combination of Kolev and Jonsson discloses every limitation claimed as applied above in claims 6, 17, 26, 31, and 36, in addition Kolev further discloses a processor (66) (see Fig. 4). The combination of Kolev and Jonsson does not specifically disclose having the feature wherein the altering of the dialing string comprises replacing the dialing string with a service request code. However, the examiner maintains that the feature wherein the altering of the dialing string comprises replacing the dialing string with a service request code was well known in the art, as taught by Sakai.

In the same field of endeavor, Sakai discloses the feature wherein the altering of the dialing string comprises replacing the dialing string with a service request code (see col. 9, lines 5-21; col. 10, lines 38-48; Figs. 4-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Kolev and Sakai to have the feature wherein the altering of the dialing string comprises replacing the dialing string with a service

Art Unit: 2617

request code, in order to achieve prompt processing when communication-service terminal request service, as taught by Sakai (see col. 3, lines 15-19).

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 21-24 and 26-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the amended language and new limitations.

In response to applicant's arguments, the Examiner respectfully disagrees as the applied reference(s) provide more than adequate support and to further clarify (see the above claims for relevant citations and comments in this section).

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 10 March 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Regarding applicant's argument of claim 1 on pg. 11, 1<sup>st</sup> full par., lines 1-4, "...does not disclose determining which communications networks from the plurality of available communications networks support the call based upon the parameters, thereby identifying compatible networks;...parameters...include a service parameter and a dialing string...", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant has failed to appreciate the teachings of well-known prior art Kolev that clearly discloses the claimed feature(s) as would be clearly recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art. In particular, Kolev discloses the feature(s) determining which communications networks (20, 40) from the plurality of available communications networks (20, 40) support the call based upon the parameters (e.g., communications compatibility with terrestrial and satellite networks), thereby identifying

Art Unit: 2617

compatible networks (20, 40) (see col. 6, lines 18-28; Figs. 1-2 and 5-6B), where the user terminal (60) accesses information stored in memory (68) and SIM (72) (see col. 6, lines 32-49; col. 6, line 64 - col. 7, line 8; Fig. 4). The dual-mode mobile terminal is able to communicate with a satellite network (40) and/or a terrestrial network (20 - GSM or AMPS) according to service parameters (e.g., compatibility, level of service, and/or type of communications) (see col. 5, line 52 - col. 6, line 13; col. 6, lines 44-49; col. 9, lines 2-5,20-23). Furthermore, Kolev discloses the language as related to the claimed features parameters include a service parameter (e.g., compatibility, level of service, and/or type of communications) and a dialing string (phone number for an emergency or non-emergency call) (see col. 9, lines 46-49; col. 10, lines 1-9), where the mobile terminal is able to distinguish between emergency digits (e.g., 911) and non-emergency digits to determine whether or not to process the call. Therefore, as addressed above, the applied reference more than adequately meets the claim limitations.

7. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., *does not simply recite a dual-mode radiotelephone*) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Regarding applicant's argument on pg. 11, 1<sup>st</sup> full. par. (see above), the applicant's argument relies on a feature(s) not recited in the claim(s).

Art Unit: 2617

8. Regarding applicant's argument(s) of claims 2-4, 6-15, 17-20, and 29-45, the claims are addressed for the same reasons as set forth above and as applied above in each claim rejection.

9. The Examiner requests applicant to provide support (e.g., page(s), line(s), and drawing(s) as well as comments) for the amended claim language and any further amended claim language.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WILLIE J. DANIEL JR whose telephone number is (571)272-7907. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on (571) 272-7904. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA

OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/WJD,Jr/

WJD,Jr

10 June 2008

/Charles N. Appiah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617